



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Buford, Lewis & Peterson, of Lawrenceville, and *J. Boyd Sears*, of Mathews, for plaintiff in error.

Harper & Goodman, of Lynchburg, for defendant in error.

MURGUIONDO *v.* NOWLAN'S EX'R et al.

June 12, 1913.

[78 S. E. 600.]

Wills (§ 111*)—Execution—Place of Signing.—Signing of a will, required by Code 1904, § 2514, to be "in such manner as to make it manifest that the name is intended as a signature," need not necessarily be at the end, and so in case of an attested holographic will the signature of testator affixed in the presence of the witnesses, in the margin of the last page, nearly opposite the end, is sufficient.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Wills, Cent. Dig. §§ 267-275; Dec. Dig. § 111.* 13 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 730.]

Error to Chancery Court of Richmond.

Will of Bettie W. Nowlan, offered by Robert E. Macomber, executor, was admitted to probate, and Mary de Murguiondo, one of the contestants, brings error. Affirmed.

R. R. Hicks, of Norfolk, and *H. M. Smith*, of Richmond, for plaintiff in error.

Meredith & Cocke and *Leake & Buford*, all of Richmond, for defendants in error.

KINCHELOE et al. *v.* GIBSON'S EX'X.

June 12, 1913.

[78 S. E. 603.]

1. Appeal and Error (§ 220*)—Objections in Lower Court—Report of Referee.—Questions not raised by objections to commissioner's report stating an account in the lower court will not be noticed on appeal.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Appeal and Error, Cent. Dig. §§ 1325-1332; Dec. Dig. § 220.* 1 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 565; 14 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 90; 15 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 64.]

2. Executors and Administrators (§ 483*)—Expenditures—Taxes.—Taxes accruing before the death of a testator, leaving practically all his realty to life tenants with the remainder over, are properly paid by the executrix, and she is entitled to credit therefor.

*For other cases see same topic and section NUMBER in Dec. Dig. & Am. Dig. Key No. Series & Rep'r Indexes.